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### 1.0 Executive Summary

This report summarizes the transportation analysis for the Road Safety Audit (RSA) performed at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road in Charleston County, South Carolina. Per the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), an RSA "is the formal safety performance examination of an existing or future road or intersection by an independent, multidisciplinary team. It qualitatively estimates and reports on potential road safety issues and identifies opportunities for improvements in safety for all road users. The FHWA works with State and local jurisdictions and Tribal Governments to integrate RSAs into the project development process for new roads and intersections, and also encourages RSAs on existing roads and intersections" (FHWA Road Safety Audits, October 15, 2014). The RSA includes analysis of the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road for transportation improvements and safety improvements, including analysis of the 2022 Existing conditions and four alternatives for the design year 2025 Build conditions.

Crash data was provided by the South Carolina Department of Public Safety (SCDPS) for the 11-year period from January 2010 through December 2021 for the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road. The South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) Safety Office provided supplemental information. The majority of the crashes at the intersection were angle ( $46 \%$ ) and rear end ( $24 \%$ ) crashes. The one fatal crash at the intersection during the study period was an angle crash due to a driver failing to yield the right-of-way.

Currently, the unsignalized intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road operates acceptably during the AM, Midday, and PM peak hours.

The project team developed four conceptual alternatives for the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road:

- Alternative 1: Pavement Markings/Signage Upgrades
- Alternative 2: Restriction of Mainline Left Turns
- Alternative 3: Conversion of the intersection to a Reduced Conflict Intersection (RCI)
- Alternative 4: Signalization of Intersection

The intersection is shown to operate at LOS D or better for all four alternatives in the 2025 Build conditions.

The project team developed the following projected planning level costs. These costs should be considered approximate and are for planning purposes only.

- Alternative 1: $\$ 167,000$
- Alternative 2: $\$ 885,000$
- Alternative 3: $\$ 490,000$
- Alternative 4: $\$ 540,000$

Alternative 1 is recommended in the short term to enhance safety at the intersection. Based on the results of the transportation analysis, due to a combination of its constructability, projected operations, queuing, and likelihood of decreasing the severity and frequency of angle crashes prevalent at the intersection, Alternatives 2, 3 and 4 all result in improved conditions at the intersection. The following should be considered when reviewing these alternatives.

- Alternative 2
- The number of conflict points is reduced with the restricted movements
- US 17 lefts would be rerouted
- Seewee Road has the highest left-turn movement at the intersection
- Alternative 3
- The number of conflict points is further reduced with the restricted movements
- Side street left turns and through movements would be rerouted
- Alternative 4
- Traffic signal would be located at an isolated rural intersection, therefore appropriate signage, striping, and lighting would be required
- SCDOT may require the installation of a westbound left-turn lane on Seewee Road approach prior to considering signalization. With the installation of the left-turn lane, this would likely extend the timeframe of when the location is projected to meet traffic signal warrants.
- National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 500 - Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan - Volume 5: A Guide for Addressing Unsignalized Intersection Collisions (Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, 2003), notes that "before a decision to install a signal is made, adequate consideration should be given to less restrictive forms of traffic control."
- Provides a controlled bicycle and pedestrian crossing

Further discussion with SCDOT is recommended regarding the future year improvement alternatives.
Results in this report are based solely on traffic studies and are considered input into final design considerations. The alternatives analyzed should be considered conceptual in nature. The final design will be determined by the project engineer after other design elements (such as, but not limited to, utilities, stormwater, etc.) are taken into consideration and should meet SCDOT design standards.

### 2.0 Introduction

This report summarizes the transportation analysis for the RSA performed at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road in Charleston County, South Carolina. Per the FHWA, an RSA "is the formal safety performance examination of an existing or future road or intersection by an independent, multidisciplinary team. It qualitatively estimates and reports on potential road safety issues and identifies opportunities for improvements in safety for all road users. The FHWA works with State and local jurisdictions and Tribal Governments to integrate RSAs into the project development process for new roads and intersections, and also encourages RSAs on existing roads and intersections" (FHWA Road Safety Audits, October 15, 2014). The RSA includes analysis of the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road for transportation improvements and safety improvements, including analysis of the 2022 Existing conditions and four alternatives for the design year 2025 Build conditions.

### 3.0 Inventory

### 3.1 Study Area and Site Visit

The study area for the RSA includes the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road.
During the preliminary site visit, the following items were observed:

- Northbound US 17 right-turn yield sign missing
- Large vehicles including trucks with boat trailers using Seewee Road
- US 17 is a high-speed rural roadway ( 60 miles per hour)
- Seewee Restaurant driveway is close to the intersection and has a long curb cut with head-in parking on US 17

Figure 1A (Appendix) shows the overall site location. Figure 1B (Appendix) shows a zoomed-in aerial of the study area intersection.

### 3.2 Existing Roadway Conditions

Roadways in the project vicinity include US 17, Seewee Road, and Fifteen Mile Landing Road. Table 1 shows the SCDOT Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volumes from 2011-2021 to determine the growth rate for the intersection. Based on the historic volume data, an overall growth rate of $5.0 \%$ per year was used for the study area intersection.

|  |  |  |  | DOT A | erage | nnual D | Table 1 ily Tra | fic (AA | T) Cou | by Y |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway | Road | ction |  |  |  |  |  | Year |  |  |  |  |  | \% Growth |
|  | Start | End | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 17 \\ & \text { (sta. 135) } \end{aligned}$ | SC 41 | Fifteen Mile <br> Landing <br> Road | 28,900 | 28,900 | 29,600 | 26,300 | 37,300 | 38,600 | 39,700 | 41,200 | 41,500 | 38,400 | 50,400 | 5.72\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 17 \\ & \text { (sta. 137) } \end{aligned}$ | Fifteen Mile Landing Road | Tibwin <br> Road | 8,200 | 9,700 | 9,400 | 8,400 | 9,700 | 10,400 | 11,300 | 11,100 | 11,800 | 11,000 | 10,900 | 2.89\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seewee } \\ & \text { Road } \\ & \text { (sta. } 512 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | US 17 | Doar Road | 1,400 | 1,700 | 1,400 | 1,600 | 1,300 | 1,550 | 1,400 | 1,550 | 1,750 | 1,850 | 1,500 | 0.69\% |
| Overall |  |  | 38,500 | 40,300 | 40,400 | 36,300 | 48,300 | 50,550 | 52,400 | 53,850 | 55,050 | 51,250 | 62,800 | 5.01\% |

1. Percent growth per year calculated using 2011 through 2021 SCDOT AADT.

US 17 is a four-lane, divided, principal arterial roadway with a posted speed limit of 60 miles per hour (mph). Per SCDOT 2021 AADT counts, US 17 experiences approximately 50,400 vehicles per day (vpd) south of Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road and approximately 10,900 vpd north of Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road. The disparity at this intersection is likely because the segment from SC 41 to Seewee Road is a long segment, with the volume of $50,400 \mathrm{vpd}$ likely occurring closer to Mount Pleasant.

Seewee Road (S-584) is a two-lane, minor collector roadway with a posted speed limit of 55 mph . Per SCDOT 2021 AADT counts, Seewee Rd. experiences approximately $1,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ in the vicinity of the study area.

Fifteen Mile Landing Road (S-584) is a two-lane roadway with no posted speed limit.
Figure 2 (Appendix) shows the existing roadway laneage in the study area.

### 3.3 Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

The East Coast Greenway is a pedestrian and bicyclist route stretching 3,000 miles from Maine to Florida. In the study area, the East Coast Greenway travels on US 17 south of the intersection to/from Seewee Road to the west and then travels along Seewee Road.

US 17 has four-foot shoulders with no marked bike lanes or sidewalks in the vicinity of the study area.
Seewee Road and Fifteen Mile Landing Road also do not have bike lanes or sidewalks in the vicinity of the study area.

### 3.4 Adjacent Land Uses

On the northwest corner of the intersection of US 17 and Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road, Seewee Restaurant has a paved parking lot with head-in parking adjacent to US 17. The other corners of the intersection are currently vacant.

### 3.5 Transit Facilities

Transit service in the vicinity of the study area is run by TriCounty Link. TriCounty Link has one route in the study area, C203. Route C203 travels to/from S. Pinckney Street/Society Road to/from the Walmart Wando Crossing. Per Berkeley-Charleston-Dorchester Council of Governments (BCDCOG) staff, the route is a flag down service and the ridership in the study area is low.

### 3.6 Area Roadway Transportation Projects

Currently there are no SCDOT transportation projects underway in the study area.

### 3.7 SCDOT 2017 Safety Study

A safety study was previously completed by SCDOT in December 2017. This study is included in the Appendix. As a result, the following improvements were made:

- Standard signing application for divided highways was applied at to the study area intersection
- New dual intersection warning signs with advance street name plaques and Type XI sheeting was applied
- Intersection was restriped with skip lines along edge of travel way through the intersection
- 175' solid 4" yellow line striped between the two "No Parking Highway Side of Yellow Line" on US 17 by the Seewee Restaurant


### 3.8 Speed Study

A speed study was previously completed by SCDOT in June 2017 to determine whether a reduction from the existing 60 mph speed limit should be considered. This study is included in the Appendix. It was found by SCDOT that the existing speed limit was appropriate for this section of roadway.

### 4.0 Data Collection

### 4.1 Turning Movement Counts

Peak hour intersection turning movement counts including vehicular, pedestrian, and heavy vehicle traffic were performed in March 2022 from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road.

The turning movement count data is included in the Appendix and the AM, Midday, and PM peak hour existing traffic volumes are shown in Figure 3 (Appendix).

### 4.2 Crash Data

Crash data was provided for the project study area by the SCDPS for the 11-year period from January 2010 through December 2021 at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road. Supplemental information was provided by the SCDOT Safety Office.

The following variables were reviewed for each crash: location, manner of collision, injury status, primary contributing factor, lighting, and roadway conditions.

Crash data locations were identified with either latitude/longitude coordinates or mile point from a specific location along the corridor. This information allowed for the mapping of the crash locations.

The manner of collision describes the type of collision that occurred during the crash and is classified into the following categories:

- Not a Collison with a Motor Vehicle
- Non-Collision (run off road, rollover/overturn, jackknife, etc.)
- Collision with Object Not Fixed (animal, pedestrian, etc.)
- Collision with Fixed Object (guardrail, median, ditch, sign, tree, etc.)
- Rear End
- Angle
- Head On
- Sideswipe, Same Direction
- Sideswipe, Opposite Direction

Injury Status for each crash was identified by one of the following three categories:

- PDO - Property Damage Only
- Injury - At least one possible, non-incapacitating injury, or incapacitating injury
- Fatality - At least one fatality

The primary contributing factor of an incident describes the main element of why a crash occurred. A list of all primary contributing factor codes used in this study is proved in the Appendix.

### 5.0 Crash Data Analysis

### 5.1 Existing Conditions

For the crash analysis, historic crash data from the 11-year period from January 2010 to December 2021 was reviewed for the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road. The 41 crashes that occurred at the intersection were reviewed for trends during this time period.

The crash data for the project study area is shown in Figure 4 (Appendix) and discussed in Tables 2 through 4. There were 41 crashes in the project study area during the time period analyzed. Table 2 shows a summary of the crash data by collision type and injury status.

As shown in Table 2, the highest percentage of crashes in the study area were angle crashes (46\%). Approximately $53 \%$ of angle collisions and $66 \%$ of all collisions resulted in injury. Approximately $32 \%$ of all collisions resulted in property damage only. One fatality occurred within the study area during the time period analyzed.

| Table 2: <br> Crash Analysis - Collision Type and Injury Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manner of Collision |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Collisions } \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Total | Injury Status |  |  |
|  |  | Property Damage Only |  | Injury | Fatal |
| Not Collision with Motor Vehicle | Non-Collision |  | 6 | 14.6\% | 2 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Non-Fixed Object | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Fixed Object | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Unknown | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rear End |  | 10 | 24.4\% | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| Angle |  | 19 | 46.3\% | 8 | 10 | 1 |
| Head On |  | 1 | 2.4\% | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sideswipe, Same Direction |  | 3 | 7.3\% | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Sideswipe, Opposite Direction |  | 1 | 2.4\% | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ran Off Road |  | 1 | 2.4\% | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total |  | 41 | 100\% | 13 | 27 | 1 |

The detailed characteristics of the fatal crash provided by SCDPS were reviewed. The crash, an angle crash, was noted as a failure to yield right-of-way and occurred at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road in the southbound lane of travel in 2017.

Table 3 shows the primary contributing factor for incidents within the study area.

| Crash Analysis 3: Primary Contributing Factor for Collisions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cause | Number of Collisions | Percent of Total |
| Animal in Road | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Aggressive Operation of Vehicle | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Exceeded Authorized Speed Limit | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Distracted/Inattention | 6 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Disregarded Sign or Signal | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Driving Too Fast for Conditions | 3 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Failed to Yield Right of Way | 19 | $46.3 \%$ |
| Followed Too Closely | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Fatigued/Asleep | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Improper Lane Usage/Change | 3 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Medical Reason | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Other Improper Driver Action | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Ran Off Road | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Unknown Vehicle Defect | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

As shown in Table 3, the four most common primary contributing factors of crashes within the study area were failure to yield right-of-way (46.3\%), distracted/inattention (14.6\%), improper lane usage/change (7.3\%), and driving too fast for conditions (7.3\%).

Table 4 shows a summary of the crash data within the study area by light and road surface condition.
As shown in Table 4, the majority of crashes within the study area took place during daylight and dry pavement conditions ( $83 \%$ and $85 \%$, respectively).

| Table 4: <br> Crash Analysis - Light and Road Surface Conditions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conditions |  | Number of Incidents | Percent of Incidents on Road Section |
| Light Conditions | Daylight | 34 | 82.9\% |
| Light Conditions | Dark | 7 | 17.1\% |
| Total |  | 41 | 100\% |
| Road Surface Conditions | Dry | 35 | 85.4\% |
|  | Wet | 6 | 14.6\% |
| Total |  | 41 | 100\% |

### 6.0 Existing Conditions Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

The intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road was reviewed as a part of this RSA to determine the appropriateness of traffic signal installation based on the Existing traffic conditions volumes at the intersection.

Traffic signal installation is based on national standards outlined in the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) (FHWA (2009, updated 2022)). The MUTCD outlines nine warrants that can be reviewed for a location under consideration for the installation of a traffic signal. The nine warrants are:

- Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 3, Peak Hour
- Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volumes
- Warrant 5, School Crossing
- Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System
- Warrant 7, Crash Experience
- Warrant 8, Roadway Network
- Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing

As stated previously, a traffic count was performed at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road on a typical weekday in March 2022 from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM. The raw count data is included in the Appendix.

### 6.1 MUTCD Warrants

Traffic signal installation warrants are based on national standards outlined in the MUTCD. The MUTCD identifies nine factors to be considered related to the "existing operation and safety at the study location and the potential to improve these conditions." The MUTCD notes "satisfaction of traffic signal warrant or warrants does not in itself require the installation of the traffic control signal." The MUTCD cautions against installation of a traffic signal when it is not warranted.

The MUTCD also provides guidance on the treatment of right-turns in the analysis, stating, "the study should consider the effects of the right-turn vehicles from the minor-street approaches. Engineering judgement should be used to determine what, if any, portion of the right-turn traffic is subtracted from the minor-street traffic count when evaluating the count against the signal warrants." Right turns are generally included in the analysis on the mainline approach if there is no exclusive right turn lane, but not included if a right-turn lane exists. $75 \%$ of the right turns were included in the traffic signal warrant review for the Seewee Road approach and $0 \%$ of the right turns were included for the Fifteen Mile Landing Road approach due to the exclusive right-turn lane.

### 6.2 Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

This warrant reviews the interaction between traffic on the major street with the highest minor street approach. It reviews whether there is a large volume of intersecting traffic or whether the traffic flow on the major street causes excessive delay/conflict on the minor street.
$70 \%$ and $56 \%$ threshold values were used from Table 4C-1 (MUTCD) because the speed limit on the major street (US 17) is 60 mph .

Table 5 shows the Existing conditions traffic volumes compared to the MUTCD standards.

In the Existing conditions, the existing traffic volumes were found to meet Warrant 1, Condition A for zero hours of the eight hours required. Warrant 1, Condition B, is met for six hours (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM, 12:00 PM - 2:00 PM, and 5:00 PM - 7:00 PM) of the eight hours required. There are two hours (3:00 PM - 5:00 PM) where the minor street volumes are one vehicle short of the threshold for Warrant 1, Condition B. The traffic volumes were found to meet Warrant 1, Combination Warrant Condition A for zero hours of the eight required and Condition B is met for eleven hours of the eight hours required, meeting zero hours of both conditions (eight hour required). Therefore, the Existing conditions were found to not meet Warrant 1 in the Existing conditions, however, Warrant 1, Condition B is close to being met.

## US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road - Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - Existing Conditions

Intersection:
US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road
Speed Limit of Major Street:
60 mph
Greater than 40 mph ? Y
Major Street: US 17
Minor Street: Seewee Road

In an isolated community with population less than 10,000 ?
\# Approach Lanes: 2
\# Approach Lanes:


Source: MUTCD, 2022

Table 5: Traffic Signal Warrant Signal Analysis - 2022 Existing Conditions

Figure 4C-2. Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume (70\% Factor)
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 60 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

SOURCE: Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), (Federal Highway Administration, 2009, updated 2012), https://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/part4.pdf

## Source: MUTCD,2022

Figure 5: MUTCD Figure 4C-2, Existing Four-Hour Vehicle Volume

### 6.3 Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume

This warrant reviews conditions "where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic signal."
$70 \%$ threshold values were used from Figure 4C-2 (MUTCD) because the speed limit on the major street (US 17) is 60 mph .

Table 5 shows the Existing conditions traffic volumes compared to the MUTCD standards.
As shown in Table 5 and Figure 5, three hours (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM and 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM) of the four required hours are met in the Existing conditions. Therefore, this warrant is not met.

### 6.4 Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

This warrant reviews conditions to see if the "minor-street traffic suffers undue delay when entering or crossing the major street." Note that this warrant "shall be applied only in unusual cases, such as office complexes, manufacturing plants, industrial complexes, or high-occupancy vehicle facilities that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time" according to the MUTCD.

Warrant 3 is not applicable for this intersection as it does not fall under the circumstances listed above.

### 6.5 Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume

This warrant reviews traffic volumes on the major street to see if pedestrians experience excessive delay in crossing the major street.

Due to the low volume of pedestrians observed at the intersection during the traffic count, this warrant was not found to be met.

### 6.6 Warrant 5 - School Crossing

This warrant reviews conditions "where the fact that school children cross the major street is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal."

Due to the low volume of pedestrians observed at the intersection during the traffic count, this warrant was not found to be met.

### 6.7 Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System

This warrant reviews conditions to determine if installing a traffic signal is required to necessitate progressive movements in a coordinated signal system.

The study area intersection is not adjacent to a coordinated system. Therefore, this warrant is not met.

### 6.8 Warrant 7 - Crash Experience

This warrant reviews crash data to determine if the severity and frequency of crashes give reason to install a traffic control signal. "The need for a traffic control signal shall be considered if an engineering study finds that all of the following criteria are met:

- Adequate trial of alternatives with satisfactory observance and enforcement has failed to reduce the crash frequency; and
- Five or more reported crashes, of types susceptible to correction by a traffic control signal, have occurred within a 12 -month period, each crash involving personal injury or property damage apparently exceeding the applicable requirements for a reportable crash; and
- For each of any 8 hours of an average day, the vehicles per hour (vph) given in both of the 80 percent columns of Condition A in Table 4C-1 (see Section 4C.02), or the vph in both of the 80 percent columns of Condition B in Table 4C-1 exists on the major-street and the higher-volume minor-street approach, respectively, to the intersection, or the volume of pedestrian traffic is not less than 80 percent of the requirements specified in the Pedestrian Volume warrant. These majorstreet and minor-street volumes shall be for the same 8 hours. On the minor street, the higher volume shall not be required to be on the same approach during each of the 8 hours."

Based on the past 11 years of crash data discussed in the previous section and shown in Figure 4, there were four angle crashes in 2015 and three angle crashes in both 2014 and 2017. Therefore, this warrant was not met.

### 6.9 Warrant 8 - Roadway Network

This warrant reviews conditions to see if "installing a traffic control signal at some intersections might be justified to encourage concentration and organization of traffic flow on a roadway network." Warrant 8 requires the intersections of two or more "major routes." Only US 17 is considered a major route; therefore, this warrant is not met.

### 6.10 Warrant 9 - Intersection near a Grade Crossing

This warrant reviews conditions to determine "if the proximity to the intersection of a grade crossing on an intersection approach controlled by a STOP or YIELD sign is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal."

The intersection is not near a grade crossing, therefore Warrant 9 is not met.

### 6.11 Summary of Warrants

In summary, the intersection was not found to not meet traffic signal warrants, however, Warrant 1, Condition B is close to being met with the current lane configuration. SCDOT may require the installation of a westbound left-turn lane on Seewee Road approach prior to considering signalization. With the installation of the left-turn lane, this would likely extend the timeframe of when the location is projected to meet traffic signal warrants. Therefore, the intersection is not considered as a good candidate for potential signalization at this time based on the Existing conditions. Further discussion with SCDOT on potential future signalization is recommended as the traffic signal would be located at an isolated rural area. If installed, appropriate signage, striping, and lighting would be required.

### 7.0 Proposed Alternatives Summary

Four conceptual alternatives were proposed for the US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road intersection and are detailed in the sections below.

### 7.1 Alternative 1 - Pavement Markings/Signing Upgrades

Alternative 1 consists of updating pavement markings and installation of signage upgrades on all approaches at the intersection of US 17 at Fifteen Mile Landing Road/Seewee Road.

Figure 6 (Appendix) shows the conceptual plan prepared by Parrish and Partners.

### 7.2 Alternative 1 Safety Review

The National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 500 - Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan - Volume 5: A Guide for Addressing Unsignalized Intersection Collisions identifies the potential safety benefits of improving pavement markings and signage at an intersection that include:

- Drivers are more aware that an intersection is approaching and therefore, they are more alert to potential vehicles on cross streets


### 7.3 Alternative 2 - Restriction of Mainline Left Turns

Alternative 2 consists of restricting the mainline left turns onto the side streets at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road and installation of northbound and southbound acceleration lanes on US 17 to assist vehicles from the side streets maneuvering onto US 17. This concept also would require potential improvements to the adjacent median breaks north and south of the intersection on US 17.

Figure 7 (Appendix) shows the conceptual plan prepared by Parrish and Partners.

### 7.4 Alternative 2 Safety Review

The National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 500 - Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan - Volume 5: A Guide for Addressing Unsignalized Intersection Collisions identifies the potential safety benefits of restriction of mainline left turns and acceleration lanes that include:

- Reduction of rear-end and sideswipe collisions that result from conflicts between vehicles turning left from the side streets and major highway through movements
- Turn restrictions or prohibitions have been found to reduce crashes related to the turning maneuver by nearly $100 \%$


### 7.5 Alternative 3 - Conversion of the Intersection to a Reduced Conflict Intersection

Alternative 3 consists of a reconfiguration of the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road to an RCI by converting the eastbound and westbound approaches to right turns only. This concept also would require potential improvements to the adjacent median breaks north and south of the intersection on US 17.

An RCI is a geometric design option for an intersection that alters how traffic completes left-turn movements through the intersection. The minor road left-turn movements are eliminated from the intersection by installing concrete divider islands to the median of the intersection. The islands allow traffic on the major road to turn left onto the minor road but restrict left turning traffic from the minor road. Minor street through movements across the major road are also prohibited. All minor road traffic turns right and the left-turning or through traffic from the minor streets utilizes U-turn movements at the adjacent median breaks.

Figure 8 (Appendix) shows the conceptual plan prepared by Parrish and Partners.

### 7.6 Alternative 3 Safety Review

As noted by SCDOT (https://www.scdot.org/travel/reduceconflict-intersection.aspx), an RCI improves safety at an intersection by reducing the number of conflict points in the intersection from the 32 conflict points at a traditional intersection to 18. Additionally, at six locations in South Carolina where RCIs are installed, SCDOT has found that "right angle crashes have been reduced by $96 \%$. Fatal crashes were reduced $100 \%$ and all injury crashes have been reduced $73 \%$."

### 7.7 Alternative 4 - Signalization of Intersection

Alternative 4 consists of signalization of the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road. All left turns were assumed to operate as permissive only in the analysis. SCDOT may require the installation of a westbound left-turn lane on Seewee Road approach prior to considering signalization. This turn lane was not included in the analysis.

Figure 9 (Appendix) shows the conceptual plan prepared by Parrish and Partners.

### 7.8 Alternative 4 Safety Review

The National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 500 - Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan - Volume 5: A Guide for Addressing Unsignalized Intersection Collisions identifies the potential safety benefits of installation of a traffic signal at the intersection. The following was noted:

- Typically, at signalized intersections, crash frequency is increased; however, severity is decreased with the installation of a traffic signal
- "Before a decision to install a signal is made, adequate consideration should be given to less restrictive forms of traffic control."


### 8.0 Capacity Analysis

Capacity analyses were performed for the AM, Midday, and PM peak hours for the 2022 Existing, 2025 No Build, and 2025 Build conditions for Alternative 2, Alternative 3, and Alternative 4 using the Synchro, Version 10 software to determine the operating characteristics of the adjacent roadway network and the impacts of the proposed project. The analyses were conducted with methodologies contained in the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Transportation Research Board, December 2016). Alternative 1 conditions will be the same as the 2025 No Build conditions due to no geometric changes being made as part of Alternative 1.

Capacity of an intersection is defined as the maximum number of vehicles that can pass through an intersection during a specified time, typically an hour. Capacity is described LOS for the operating characteristics of an intersection. LOS is a qualitative measure that describes operational conditions and motorist perceptions within a traffic stream. The Highway Capacity Manual defines six levels of service, LOS A through LOS F, with A being the best and F being the worst.

LOS for signalized intersections is determined by the overall intersection operations and is reflected as average delay per vehicle. LOS D or better is typically considered acceptable for signalized intersections.

LOS for a two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) intersection is determined by the delay of the poorest performing minor approach, as LOS is not defined for TWSC intersections as a whole. It is not unusual for minor stop-controlled side streets and driveways on major streets to experience longer delays at LOS E and LOS F during peak hours while the majority of the traffic moving through the corridor typically experiences little or no delay.

The 2025 Build traffic volumes include existing traffic grown to the buildout year based on the growth rate previously discussed. Figure 10 (Appendix) shows the AM, Midday, and PM peak hour volumes. Volume development worksheets for Alternatives 2 and 3 are shown in the Appendix.

Table 6 summarizes the LOS and control delay (average second of delay per vehicle) for the projected 2022 Existing and 2025 Build AM, Midday, and PM peak hour conditions at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road.

| Table 6: <br> LOS Analysis Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conditions |  | Traffic Control ${ }^{1}$ | AM Peak Hour | Midday Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| 2022 Existing Conditions |  | U | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { C } \\ (15.5)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathrm{C} \\ (18.5)- \\ \text { WB } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { C } \\ (21.1)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2025 No Build Co | /Alternative 1 | U | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ (17.4)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ (22.3)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ (26.7)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ |
| Alternative 2 | 2025 Build <br> Conditions | U | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ (16.9)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ (20.6)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ (25.0)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ |
| Alternative 3 | 2025 Build <br> Conditions | U | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ (11.6)- \\ \text { EB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ (11.6)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ (12.6)- \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ |
| Alternative 4 | 2025 Build <br> Conditions | S | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ (5.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ (6.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ (5.6) \end{gathered}$ |

1. $\quad \mathrm{S}=$ Signalized, $\mathrm{U}=$ Unsignalized

One vehicle of median storage was assumed due to the median break. As shown in Table 6, the unsignalized intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road currently operates acceptably at LOS C, during the AM, Midday, and PM peak hours. In the 2025 No Build/Alternative 1 conditions the unsignalized intersection of US 17 at Fifteen Mile Landing Road/Seewee Road is projected to continue to operate acceptably at LOS C during the AM and Midday peak hours and at LOS D during the PM peak hour.

### 8.1 Alternative 1 - Pavement Markings/Signing Upgrades

No geometric changes were made for this alternative, so the 2025 Alternative 1 Build conditions would be the same as the 2025 No Build conditions.

### 8.2 Alternative 2 - Restriction of Mainline Left Turns

As previously discussed in Section 7.3, Alternative 2 consists of installation of northbound and southbound acceleration lanes on US 17 at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road to allow vehicles from the side streets onto the main road and the restriction of mainline left turns onto the side streets. With the proposed improvements, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS C during the AM and Midday peak hours and at LOS D during the PM peak hour in the 2025 Build conditions.

### 8.3 Alternative 3-RCI

As previously discussed in Section 7.5, Alternative 3 consists of a reconfiguration of the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road by converting the eastbound and westbound approaches to right turn only. With the proposed improvements, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS B during the AM, Midday, and PM peak hours during the 2025 Build conditions.

### 8.4 Alternative 4 - Signalization of Intersection

As previously discussed in Section 7.7, Alternative 4 consists of signalization of the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road. It is assumed that the left turns at the intersection would be operate as permissive operations only. With the proposed improvements, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS A during the AM, Midday, and PM peak hours during the 2025 Build conditions.

### 8.5 Preliminary Cost Summary

The project team developed the preliminary costs for the four alternatives. These costs are based on best available conceptual data in 2022 and are subject to change based on plan/design revisions, fluctuations in unit costs, field conditions, etc. The quantities and costs in this estimate are provided for budgeting use only and should not be considered as final. This estimate does not include any costs associated with right-ofway acquisition, engineering, utility relocation, environmental mitigation or CE\&I associated with construction.

The project team developed the following projected planning level costs.

- Alternative 1: $\$ 167,000$
- Alternative 3: $\$ 490,000$
- Alternative 2: $\$ 885,000$
- Alternative 4: $\$ 540,000$

Detailed preliminary cost information is included in the Appendix for Alternatives 1-4.

### 9.0 Field Visit Summary

### 9.1 Pre-Road Safety Audit Meeting

Parrish \& Partners and Bihl Engineering led a Pre-Road Safety Audit Meeting on Wednesday, May 11, 2022, at the Town of Awendaw Town Hall. The RSA included a multi-disciplinary team to perform a review of the intersection comprised of representatives from Charleston County, Charleston County Sheriff, Charleston County Fire Department, the Town of Awendaw, SCDOT (District 6 and Headquarters Safety Staff), BCDCOG, Parrish \& Partners, and Bihl Engineering.

The following section provides a summary of the discussion in the pre-RSA meeting.

- The intersection characteristics, traffic volumes, and crash history were reviewed with the team
- Previous studies included a SCDOT safety review and speed study
- The area is popular with bicyclists. There is a regular bicycle group ride that travels on US 17 on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays. Other groups ride on Saturdays. BCDCOG plans to collect additional bicycle data in the future at this intersection.
- The Town noted two developments are planned on Seewee Road. SCDOT and the Town have not received any traffic studies for these developments.
- Seewee Road experiences heavy vehicles and trucks with boat trailers
- Intersection is currently not lit at night. If streetlights are considered, the potential impact on wildlife should be reviewed
- Potential design improvements were discussed:
- Pavement markings/signage upgrades
- Restriction of mainline left turns
- RCI


### 9.2 RSA Field Review

A PM peak hour field review meeting was held on Wednesday, May 11, 2022, with the project team and stakeholders. An AM peak hour field review meeting was held on Thursday, May 12, 2022, with the project team and stakeholders. This section summarizes the discussion and observations at the intersections. The RSA field visit summary package is included in the Appendix.

- There is a missing yield sign northbound on US 17 turning right onto Seewee Road
- TriCounty bus stop C203 is a flag down bus stop, but ridership is low in the area
- During the site visit, the location of where vehicles staged in the median was inconsistent, sometimes causing congestion
- Some stakeholders requested looking at median striping to help with vehicle staging. Per further discussion with SCDOT, there is no standard for this type of striping
- Increase stop sign size from 36 inches to 48 inches
- The location of the existing yellow edge line location by Seewee Restaurant needs confirmation of proper placement
- When developing concepts, the alignment of southbound left lane and the northbound US 17 right turn striped median should be reviewed
- Truck volumes to/from Seewee Road appeared higher during the AM peak hour than in the PM peak hour
- Vehicles were observed traveling the wrong way on US 17 to enter Seewee Restaurant parking lot
- Two bicyclists were observed travelling from Seewee Road to Fifteen Mile Landing Road during observation periods
- Project could consider installation of properly sized acceleration lanes on US 17 to facilitate side street left-turn vehicle flow entering US 17 vehicle stream


### 9.3 US 17 at Seewee Road/ Fifteen Mile Landing Road Site Photos



The project team observing the existing conditions at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road.


Example of westbound queue on Seewee Road during the AM peak hour.


Example of vehicles using the median inconsistently. Vehicle is waiting in the northbound left-turn lane because a vehicle is in the middle of the median.


Example of bicyclists traveling westbound from Seewee Road to Fifteen Mile Landing Road.


Existing yellow line at Seewee Restaurant driveway - Location to be reviewed.


The missing yield sign on US 17 for northbound turning right onto Seewee Road.

### 10.0 Summary

This report summarizes the transportation analysis for the RSA performed at the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road in Charleston County, South Carolina.

The RSA analyzed the intersection of US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road for transportation improvements and safety improvements. The transportation analysis for the RSA reviewed the existing conditions and four build alternatives. For the purposes of this report four intersection alternatives were analyzed for the 2022 existing conditions and design year 2025 conditions.

The crash analysis for the study period of January 2010 through December 2021 at the intersection US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road at revealed a high percentage of angle ( $46 \%$ ) and rear end ( $24 \%$ ) crashes. The one fatal crash at the intersection during the study period was an angle crash due to a driver failing to yield the right-of-way.

In the intersection capacity analysis, Alternative 2, Alternative 3, and Alternative 4 are projected to operate acceptably during the AM, Midday, and PM peak hours in the 2025 Build conditions.

### 11.0 Conclusion

Alternative 1 is recommended in the short term to enhance safety at the intersection. Based on the results of the transportation analysis, due to a combination of its constructability, projected operations, queuing, and likelihood of decreasing the severity and frequency of angle crashes prevalent at the intersection, Alternatives 2, 3 and 4 all result in improved conditions at the intersection. The following should be considered when reviewing these alternatives.

- Alternative 2
- The number of conflict points is reduced with the restricted movements
- US 17 lefts would be rerouted
- Seewee Road has the highest left-turn movement at the intersection
- Alternative 3
- The number of conflict points is further reduced with the restricted movements
- Side street left turns and through movements would be rerouted
- Alternative 4
- Traffic signal would be located at an isolated rural intersection, therefore appropriate signage, striping, and lighting would be required.
- SCDOT may require the installation of a westbound left-turn lane on Seewee Road approach prior to considering signalization. With the installation of the left-turn lane, this would likely extend the timeframe of when the location is projected to meet traffic signal warrants.

November 2022

- National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 500 - Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan - Volume 5: A Guide for Addressing Unsignalized Intersection Collisions (Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, 2003), notes that "before a decision to install a signal is made, adequate consideration should be given to less restrictive forms of traffic control."
- Provides a controlled bicycle and pedestrian crossing

Further discussion with SCDOT is recommended regarding the future year improvement alternatives.
Results in this report are based solely on traffic studies and are considered input into final design considerations. The alternatives analyzed should be considered conceptual in nature. The final design will be determined by the project engineer after other design elements (such as, but not limited to, utilities, stormwater, etc.) are taken into consideration and should meet SCDOT design standards.

## Appendix





| ENGINEERING | US 17 at Seewee Rd./Fifteen <br> Mile Landing Rd. <br> Road Safety Audit - <br> Transportation Analysis | Existing <br> Roadway <br> Laneage | Figure 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| US | US 17 at Seewee Rd./Fifteen <br> Mile Landing Rd. <br> Road Safety Audit - | Existing Peak Hour <br> Traffic Volumes | Figure 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transportation Analysis |  |  |  |$\quad$|  |
| :---: |




| Source: Parrish \& Partners | US 17 at Seewee Rd./Fifteen <br> Mile Landing Rd. <br> Road Safety Audit - <br> Transportation Analysis | Alternative 1 <br> Conceptual Plan | Figure 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |



US 17 at Seewee Rd./Fifteen

Alternative 2 Conceptual Plan

Figure 7




June 28, 2017

The Honorable Miriam C. Green
Town of Awendaw
Post Office Box 520
Awendaw, South Carolina 29429
RE: US 17 Corridor Safety Review
Dear Mayor Green:
Thank you for the opportunity to attend the Town of Awendaw Council Meeting on May 4, 2017 to address safety concerns along US 17. As discussed at that meeting, a prior review was conducted in December 2014. At your request, the Department has conducted an updated safety review for this corridor and a comprehensive report is enclosed.

Vehicle crash history was collected along the corridor for the time frame between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2016. The review included crash data from an 11.7 -mile section beginning south of Seewee Road and culminating north of Steed Creek Road. Additionally, crash reports were reviewed at the US 17 intersections with Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road, Guerins Bridge Road, Doar Road (South), Doar Road (North), and Steed Creek Road. Based on the review of this crash data and site evaluations, several signing and marking upgrades are recommended. Each of these is specifically discussed in the attached report, and includes upgraded intersection warning signs, "one way" signs, "do not enter" signs, and "wrong way" signs.

We hope that this information addresses the concerns you have brought to our attention. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me directly at (843) 746-6719.


JAJ:jaj
ec: Arnold Blanding, Resident Maintenance Engineer
File: D6/Charleston


Safety Review of US 17 (North Highway 17) From S-584 (Seewee Road) to S-1032 (Steed Creek Road)

June 2017

## I. Introduction

At the request of the Town of Awendaw, the South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) District Six office conducted a safety review along US 17 (North Highway 17) from MP 48.5 (just south of Seewee Road) to MP 60.2 (just north of Steed Creek Road) in Charleston County. The goal of the review was to identify areas with crash patterns that could be corrected with signing and/or pavement marking improvements. Additionally, a general review of the roadway was performed to identify issues related to safety throughout the corridor.

## II. Crash Data Analysis

The collision history was reviewed for the entire roadway for the period of January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2016. The following table provides a summary of the crashes by type during this three-year period, as well as a summary of crash severity.

| Crash Type | Number of <br> Crashes | Crash Frequency <br> (crashes/mile/year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angle | 21 | 0.60 |
| Rear End | 14 | 0.40 |
| Run off Road | 26 | 0.74 |
| Sideswipe | 2 | 0.06 |
| Head On | 2 | 0.06 |
| Animal | 21 | 0.60 |
| Other | 5 | 0.14 |
| Total Crashes | 91 | 2.60 |
| Crash Severity | Number of <br> Crashes | Crash Frequency <br> (crashes/mile/year) |
| Fatal | 4 | 0.11 |
| Injury | 40 | 1.14 |
| Property Damage | 47 | 1.34 |

The crash statistics for the 11.7 -mile US 17 corridor were compared to the statewide average for similar corridors. While every roadway is different based on several factors such as horizontal alignment, number of access points, and general roadside conditions, this comparison can be used to identify corridors with statistically high crash frequency. It was determined that the US 17 crash statistics are similar to the statewide average for each crash type, except for animal collisions. There were 21 reported collisions with animals (deer) during the three-year study period. This does not
meet the minimum guideline of the department for posting deer warning signs. The animal collisions were dispersed along the 11.7-mile corridor over the three years without a concentration in one area. For crash severity, the percentage of fatal and injury crashes were slightly higher than expected based on statewide averages and the percentage of property damage only (PDO) crashes were lower than expected.

Four fatal crashes occurred between January 2014 and December 2016. Of the four crashes, one occurred at the intersection with Guerins Bridge Road and is discussed further later in this report. Two of the other three crashes were run-off-the-road type collisions, with one of these specifically involving an estimated speed above the speed limit. The fourth crash resulted from a driver traveling the wrong way on US 17 and striking another vehicle head on.

## III. Roadway Geometric, Signing, and Marking Field Review

Field reviews were conducted by SCDOT's traffic engineering staff to evaluate specific needs along the corridor. Existing signing, pavement markings, and sight distance were evaluated throughout the corridor and at specific intersections. As a result of the review, it was determined that a standard signing application for divided highways will be applied to the five intersections listed below to provide consistency and proper guidance for motorists. It was noted in the field that the signing along the corridor was not consistent from intersection to intersection. Any signs currently in place that are included in the signing application will remain. Any signs that are no longer applicable will be removed. All warning signs will consist of Type XI Sheeting. This type of sheeting was designed to improve visibility for both long and short range distances. Also, the five intersections included in the review are missing skip lines along the edge of travel way. These will be restriped, as well.

## US 17 and S-584 (Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road)

The intersection of US 17 and Sewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road was recently resurfaced. The resurfacing project included adding pavement markings for all turn lanes on US 17 and adding skip lines to better define the edge of travel way through the intersection. During the field review, it was noted that the 4 " solid yellow line between the two "No Parking Highway Side of Yellow Line" signs in front of the Seewee Restaurant was missing. The 4" solid yellow line will be reinstalled. The three-year collision history revealed four reported collisions at this intersection. All four collisions were angle collisions, with one occurring in 2014 and three in 2015. The four collisions occurred prior to the resurfacing project. Sight distance was reviewed and determined to not be a factor at this intersection.

In order to provide consistency and proper guidance for motorists, a standard signing application for divided highways will be installed at this intersection. For the side streets, (2) One Way signs posted back to back and visible to each side street approach will be installed on each existing assembly above the Stop sign, and (1) Divided

Highway Crossing sign will be installed on each assembly below the Stop sign. The existing One Way sign on US 17 southbound shoulder may be relocated and used for this application. On US 17, there are currently dual Do Not Enter signs on the northbound approach and (1) Do Not Enter sign on the southbound approach. Due to the lack of shoulder on this approach to install a sign, only one Do Not Enter sign will continue to be used in this location. Dual Wrong Way signs will be installed following the Do Not Enter signs for the northbound approach and one Wrong Way sign will be installed following the Do Not Enter sign on the southbound approach. In order to provide motorists with advance warning of the intersection, (2) new Intersection Warning signs with Type XI sheeting will be installed on each US 17 approach, one sign on the left side of the roadway and one sign one the right side of the roadway. The sign assembly on the right side of the roadway for each approach will include an Advance Street Name plaque.

## US 17 and S-98 (Guerins Bridge Road)

Guerins Bridge Road intersects US 17 to form a T-type intersection. The threeyear collision history revealed three reported collisions at this intersection. All three collisions were angle collisions, with two occurring in 2014 and one in 2015. One of the crashes in 2014 was a fatality that resulted from the driver on Guerins Bridge Road failing to yield right of way. Based on information from the crash report, it is believed that the driver stopped at the stop sign and then attempted to proceed through the intersection. The vehicle approaching the intersection on US 17 southbound was unable to stop and struck the vehicle exiting Guerins Bridge Road. Sight distance was reviewed and determined to not be a factor at this intersection.

A standard signing application for a divided highway at a T-type intersection will be installed at this location. The Guerins Bridge Road approach currently has a Stop Ahead warning sign, an oversized Stop sign, and a Divided Highway Crossing sign in place. The existing Divided Highway Crossing sign will be replaced with the correct sign showing a T-type intersection instead of a cross type intersection. Also, (1) One Way sign will be added above the Stop sign to enter US 17 southbound and (1) One Way sign will be installed on the opposite side of the street to enter US 17 northbound. On US 17, (1) Do Not Enter sign will be installed on the shoulder of each US 17 approach to supplement the existing Do Not Enter signs in the medians. Dual Wrong Way signs will be installed following the Do Not Enter signs on each approach. In addition, (2) new Intersection Warning signs with Type XI sheeting will be installed on each US 17 approach, one sign on the left side of the roadway and one sign one the right side of the roadway. The sign assembly on the right side of the roadway for each approach will include an Advance Street Name plaque. The existing Intersection Warning sign on the left side of US 17 northbound with Advance Street Name plaque will be removed and replaced. It was noted that there is a Hurricane Evacuation sign approximately 20 feet in front of a Curve Warning sign just past the intersection in the southbound direction. This sign will be moved to behind the Curve Warning sign with at least 100 feet spacing between the signs. In its current location the Hurricane Evacuation sign obstructs the motorist's view of the Curve Warning sign.

## US 17 and S-432 (Doar Road -South Intersection)

The US 17 and Doar Road (south) intersection is also a T-type intersection. A vacant office building is on the opposite side of the road, which has an access drive that aligns with Doar Road. For purposes of this report, that access drive will not be included. The three-year collision history revealed one reported collision at this intersection. The collision was a single car run-off-the-road which occurred in 2014. Sight distance was reviewed and determined to not be a factor at this intersection.

A standard signing application for a divided highway at a T-type intersection will be installed at this location. On the Doar Road approach, (1) One Way sign will be added above the existing Stop sign and (1) Divided Highway Crossing sign will be added below the Stop sign. The existing One Way sign on the shoulder of US 17 southbound will remain. On US 17, a series of (2) Do Not Enter and (2) Wrong Way signs will be installed along the southbound and northbound approaches to prohibit traffic from entering a restricted roadway. In addition, (2) new Intersection Warning signs with Type XI sheeting will be installed on each US 17 approach, one sign on the left side of the roadway and one sign one the right side of the roadway. The sign assembly on the right side of the roadway for each approach will include an Advance Street Name plaque.

## US 17 and S-432 (Doar Road -North Intersection)

Doar Road (north) and Thames Road intersect US 17 to form an offset intersection. The distance between the two side streets is approximately 75 feet and the median opening is approximately 170 feet. Due to the extremely low AADT ( 25 vpd ) on Thames Road, the signing on Thames Road was determined to be sufficient. However, Intersection Warning signs on US 17 will indicate both side street intersection approaches. The three-year collision history revealed one reported collision at this intersection. The collision was a rear end which occurred in 2015. Sight distance was reviewed and determined to not be a factor at this intersection.

A standard signing application for a divided highway at a T-type intersection will be installed at this location. On the Doar Road approach, (1) One Way sign will be added above the existing Stop sign and (1) Divided Highway Crossing sign will be added below the Stop sign. The existing One Way sign on the shoulder of US 17 southbound will remain. On US 17, a series of (2) Do Not Enter and (2) Wrong Way signs will be installed along the southbound and northbound approaches to prohibit traffic from entering a restricted roadway. In addition, (2) new Intersection Warning signs with Type XI sheeting will be installed on each US 17 approach, one sign on the left side of the roadway and one sign one the right side of the roadway. The warning signs will indicate the presence of an offset intersection. The sign assembly on the right side of the roadway for each approach will include an Advance Street Name plaque.

US 17 and Steed Creek Road intersect to form a T-type intersection. US 17 southbound has a channelized right-turn deceleration lane and a channelized acceleration lane for vehicles making a right turn from Steed Creek Road. US 17 northbound has a left-turn lane onto Steed Creek Road. In recent years, the signing along the Steed Creek Road approach has been updated to include dual Stop Ahead warning signs, dual oversized Stop signs, and dual Divided Highway Crossing signs. It was noted that one of the Divided Highway Crossing signs was damaged and will be replaced. On US 17 northbound, a barricade sign with red reflective panels was installed opposite the side street approach to alert motorists that this is a T-type intersection. Also, a Yield sign and a yield bar pavement marking were installed on the US 17 southbound deceleration lane onto Steed Creek Road. The three-year collision history revealed two reported collisions at this intersection. One collision was an angle crash that resulted from the driver disregarding the stop sign on Steed Creek Road in 2014. The other collision was a run-off-the-road crash that resulted from the driver driving too fast to negotiate the right turn from Steed Creek Road in 2016. Sight distance was reviewed and determined to not be a factor at this intersection.

A standard signing application for a divided highway at a T-type intersection will be installed at this location. On the Steed Creek Road approach, (1) One Way sign will be installed above each of the Stop signs. On the opposite side of US 17, (1) One Way sign will be installed along the southbound shoulder. On US 17, a series of (2) Do Not Enter and (2) Wrong Way signs will be installed along the southbound and northbound approaches to prohibit traffic from entering a restricted roadway. In addition, (2) new Intersection Warning signs with Type XI sheeting will be installed on each US 17 approach, one sign on the left side of the roadway and one sign one the right side of the roadway. The sign assembly on the right side of the roadway for each approach will include an Advance Street Name plaque. The existing Intersection Warning signs and Advance Street Name plaques along US 17 will be removed and replaced.

## IV. Summary

At the request of the Town of Awendaw, SCDOT conducted a safety review along US 17 (North Highway 17) from MP 48.5 (just south of Seewee Road) to MP 60.2 (just north of Steed Creek Road) in Charleston. The goal of the review was to identify areas with crash patterns that could be corrected with signing and/or pavement marking improvements. Additionally, a general review of the roadway was performed to identify potential safety issues throughout the corridor. As a result of the review, it was determined that a standard signing application for divided highways will be applied to the five intersections listed in the report to provide consistency and proper guidance for motorists. Also, new dual Intersection Warning signs with Advance Street Name plaques and Type XI sheeting will be installed along all US 17 approaches to the five intersections included in this review. In addition, all intersections will be restriped with skip lines along the edge of travel way.

HMMS \# 38442 MP 48.72 (Signing) US 17 @ S-584 Seewee Rd/Fifteen Mile Landing Rd
HMMS \# 39142 (Pavement Markings) US 17 @ S-584 Seewee Rd/Fifteen Mile Landing Rd
$>$ Refresh 4" white mini skips through the intersection as noted.
$>$ Install approx. 175 ' solid 4" yellow line between the two "No Parking Highway side of Yellow line" on the restaurant side of US 17.

HMMS \# 42508 (Side Street signing)



R5-1-36
Existing

## WRONG

 WAYR5-1a-36
Install (3) signs 100' from
"DO Not Enter"
signs


W2-1-36
NEED (4) signs TYPE XI Sheeting

Seewee Rd
(2) $\mathrm{W} 16-18 \mathrm{P}$

TYPE XI
Sheeting


ONE WAY


R6-3-30
(2) Signs

HMMS WO \# 42508
R6-1-36L
R6-1-36R

Install signs above existing stop signs on Seewee Rd approaches R1-1-36

R6-3-30 Install below existing stop signs on Seewee Rd approaches

HMMS \# 38442 (Signing MP=49.6) US 17 @ S-98 (Guerins Bridge Rd)
HMMS \# 39142 (Pavement Markings)
$>$ Refresh mini skips through the intersection with 4" white mini skips for the turn lane and yellow mini skips were isn't a turn lane.

HMMS \# 42521 (Side Street Signing)


(2) R5-1-36 signs are needed
(2) R5-1-36 existing signs


W2-2-36 NEED (4) signs TYPE XI Sheeting Remove Existing sign located in the $N B$ median

## WRONG WAY

R5-1a-36 Install (4) signs 100' from "DO Not Enter" signs


HMMS wo \# 4521
R6-1-36R
Install sign above existing stop sign on Guerins Bridge Rd approach.

R1-1-36

R6-3a-30 Install below existing stop sign on Guerins Bridge Rd. approach.

Guerins Bridge Rd
(2) W16-18P TYPE XI Sheeting
**Relocate Hurricane Evac sign that is in front of the Curve
Warning sign approx.
100-feet behind the Curve Warning sign.


Install R6-1-36R on opposite side of Guerins Bridge Rd. (US 17 NB)

HMMS \# 38442 (Signing MP 54.8) US 17 @ S-432 (Doar Rd) South Intersection
HMMS \# 39142 (Pavement Markings)
> Refresh mini skips through the intersection with 4 " white mini skips for the turn lane and yellow mini skips were isn't a turn lane.

HMMS \# 42535 (Side Street Signing)


(4) R5-1-36 signs are needed


Doar Rd

## WRONG WAY

R5-1a-36 Install (4) signs 100' from "DO Not Enter" signs


HMMS \# 42535
Install R6-1-36R above existing stop sign
on Doar Rd approach.

R1-1-36

R6-3a-30 Install below existing stop sign on Doar Rd approach.
(2) W16-18P

TYPE XI
Sheeting

HMMS \#38442 (Signing MP 57.7) US 17 @ S-432 (Doar Rd) North Intersection
HMMS \# 39142 (Pavement Markings)
Refresh mini skips through the intersection with 4" white mini skips for the turn lanes.
HMMS \# 42535 (Side Street Signing)


(4) R5-1-36 signs are needed


W2-7L-36
(4) signs

HMMS \# 42535

Install R6-1-36R above existing stop sign on the Doar Rd approach

R1-1-36

R6-3a-30 Install below existing stop sign on Doar Rd approach

TYPE XI Sheeting
(1) W16-18aP

TYPE XI Sheeting

[^0](1) W16-18aP TYPE XI Sheeting

HMMS \#38442 (Signing MP 58.3) US 17 @ S-1032 (Steed Creek Rd)
HMMS \# 39142 (Pavement Markings)
$>$ Refresh mini skips through the intersection with 4" white mini skips for the turn lane, the edge of the travel lane, and yellow mini skips were isn't a turn lane.

HMMS \# 42577 (Side Street Signing)


(4) R5-1-36 signs are needed


## WRONG WAY

R5-1a-36 Install (4) signs 100' from "DO Not Enter" signs

W2-2-36
(4) signs

TYPE XI Sheeting
(2) W16-18P

TYPE XI
Sheeting


HMMS \# 42577

Install R6-1-36R above existing stop signs on Steed Creek RD approach.

R1-1-48

R6-3a-30 Install below existing stop signs on Steed Creek Rd. approach.


Install R6-1-36L left of the TYPE III Barricades on opposite side of Steed Creek Rd. (US 17 NB)

A speed study was conducted on US 17 to determine if the existing speed limit of 60 mph should be lowered. This study consisted of a review of land use and development, a collision history analysis, and a vehicle radar sample to collect speed data. These elements were reviewed and used in combination with guidance from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) analysis program, USLIMITS2 to provide a comprehensive overview to determine the appropriate speed limit.

The area studied was along US 17 in Charleston County. The study area extended approximately $0.5-$ mile in each direction from the intersection of US 17 and Fifteen Mile Landing Road/Seewee Road for a total study segment of one mile. This section of US 17 is classified as a rural principal arterial. The roadway intersects thirteen residential/commercial driveways. The intersection at Fifteen Mile Landing Road/Seewee Road is a two-way stop controlled intersection. The roadway consists of two 12 -foot travel lanes in each direction separated by a grassed median. The shoulders of the roadway are paved, approximately 2 to 6 feet wide.

The radar speed survey included a total of 100 vehicles. The speeds ranged from 47 mph to 83 mph . The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, a statistical measure employed by engineers to determine what most prudent drivers find to be an appropriate and comfortable speed, was calculated to be 66 mph . The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed was calculated to be 61 mph . Our study found that 54 percent of vehicles sampled exceeded the posted speed limit.

An analysis of the collision history was performed using information from the Department of Public Safety database. The review period was from January 2017 to December 2019. During this time period, 23 collisions were reported within the study section. There were 10 collisions reported in 2017, seven in 2018, and six in 2019. Angle collisions were the most common manner of collision, followed by rear end and run off the road collisions. One of the collisions resulted in a fatality. The fatality was the result of the driver of a motorcycle turning from Fifteen Mile Landing Road and pulling out in front of an oncoming vehicle on US 17. Some of the causes for the collisions throughout the studied corridor were cited as failure to yield right of way, following too closely, animal related, and inattention.

A corridor safety review along US 17 was performed in the fall of 2017 and included the study area. As a result of the review, signing and pavement marking improvements were made at intersections throughout the corridor including the intersection of US 17 and Fifteen Mile Landing Road/Seewee Road. The fatal collision that occurred at the intersection was prior to the signing and marking improvements being implemented.

A detailed crash analysis was conducted for the intersection of US 17 and Fifteen Mile Landing Road considering the crash reports between January 2017 and December 2019. Based on that review, five collisions occurred at the intersection; however, two of the five collisions occurred prior to signing and marking improvements. Of the remaining three crashes, two involved vehicles accessing the median break, including one vehicle parked in the median with its rear sticking into the US 17 travel lane. None of the collisions which occurred after the
signing and marking improvements involved vehicles on the eastbound Fifteen Mile Landing Road approach to the intersection. Therefore, there are no further recommendations for improvements to the intersection.

## Recommendations:

Based on our speed analysis, the existing 60 mph speed limit is appropriate for this section of roadway. As a result, a reduction in speed limit is not recommended at this time. Additionally, the crash analysis for the intersection of US 17 and Fifteen Mile Landing Road did not reveal a pattern of collisions that occurred after the signing and marking improvements which were made in 2017.

Anastopoulo
Traffic Engineering
Reviewed By: AP 4/23/2020
Checked By: ff 4/23/2020

File Name : US 17 @ 15 Mile Landing-Seewee Rd
Site Code :
Start Date: 3/15/2022
Page No : 1

|  | US 17 <br> From North |  |  |  | Seewee Rd From East |  |  |  | US 17 <br> From South |  |  |  | 15 Mile Landing Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 243 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 259 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 83 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 300 |
| Total | 3 | 636 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 294 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1036 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 291 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 160 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 72 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 262 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 143 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 86 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 255 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 269 |
| Total | 6 | 633 | 4 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 325 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1077 |
| 09:00 AM | 6 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 81 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 254 |
| 09:15 AM | 1 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 84 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 277 |
| 09:30 AM | 1 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303 |
| 09:45 AM | 5 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 295 |
| Total | 13 | 634 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 385 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1129 |
| 10:00 AM | 6 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 250 |
| 10:15 AM | 5 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 259 |
| 10:30 AM | 5 | 173 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 316 |
| 10:45 AM | 3 | 148 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 291 |
| Total | 19 | 575 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 439 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1116 |
| 11:00 AM | 5 | 138 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 282 |
| 11:15 AM | 6 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 284 |
| 11:30 AM | 5 | 146 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 97 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 276 |
| 11:45 AM | 5 | 143 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 122 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 299 |
| Total | 21 | 577 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 445 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1141 |
| 12:00 PM | 2 | 132 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 120 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 284 |
| 12:15 PM | 5 | 143 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 133 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 322 |
| 12:30 PM | 7 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 117 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 310 |
| 12:45 PM | 5 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 300 |
| Total | 19 | 536 | 3 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 514 | 48 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 1216 |
| 01:00 PM | 7 | 123 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 134 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 301 |
| 01:15 PM | 6 | 145 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 128 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 305 |
| 01:30 PM | 7 | 151 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 147 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 345 |
| 01:45 PM | 3 | 111 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 125 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 268 |
| Total | 23 | 530 | 5 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 534 | 34 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 1219 |
| 02:00 PM | 4 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 157 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 308 |
| 02:15 PM | 7 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 146 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 312 |
| 02:30 PM | 5 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 141 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 288 |
| 02:45 PM | 3 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 155 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 300 |
| Total | 19 | 467 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 599 | 57 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1208 |
| 03:00 PM | 5 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 156 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 305 |
| 03:15 PM | 7 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 152 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 316 |
| 03:30 PM | 2 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 167 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 311 |
| 03:45 PM | 4 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 188 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 |
| Total | 18 | 467 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 663 | 42 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1261 |
| 04:00 PM | 7 | 92 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 197 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 |

File Name : US 17 @ 15 Mile Landing-Seewee Rd
Site Code :
Start Date: 3/15/2022
Page No : 2
Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - Heavy Vehicles - Buses

|  | US 17 <br> From North |  |  |  | Seewee Rd From East |  |  |  | US 17 <br> From South |  |  |  | 15 Mile Landing Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 04:15 PM | 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 187 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 346 |
| 04:30 PM | 5 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 167 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 311 |
| 04:45 PM | 2 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 180 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 323 |
| Total | 19 | 399 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 731 | 74 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1310 |
| 05:00 PM | 5 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 166 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 327 |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 182 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 323 |
| 05:30 PM | 5 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 173 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 282 |
| 05:45 PM | 6 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 174 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 272 |
| Total | 20 | 347 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 695 | 54 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1204 |
| 06:00 PM | 6 | 101 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 167 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 325 |
| 06:15 PM | 5 | 105 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 156 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 309 |
| 06:30 PM | 5 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 233 |
| 06:45 PM | 3 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 92 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 189 |
| Total | 19 | 339 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 533 | 60 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 1056 |
| Grand Total | 199 | 6140 | 24 | 0 | 552 | 13 | 94 | 0 | 121 | 6157 | 527 | 6 | 32 | 16 | 80 | 12 | 13973 |
| Apprch \% | 3.1 | 96.5 | 0.4 | 0 | 83.8 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | 1.8 | 90.4 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 22.9 | 11.4 | 57.1 | 8.6 |  |
| Total \% | 1.4 | 43.9 | 0.2 | 0 | 4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.9 | 44.1 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles | 184 | 5736 | 24 | 0 | 531 | 10 | 81 | 0 | 120 | 5769 | 505 | 6 | 30 | 15 | 77 | 12 | 13100 |
| \% Passenger Vehicles | 92.5 | 93.4 | 100 | 0 | 96.2 | 76.9 | 86.2 | 0 | 99.2 | 93.7 | 95.8 | 100 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 96.2 | 100 | 93.8 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 15 | 372 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 348 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 793 |
| \% Heavy Vehicles | 7.5 | 6.1 | 0 | 0 | 3.4 | 15.4 | 12.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 0 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 0 | 5.7 |
| Buses | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 |

File Name : US 17 @ 15 Mile Landing-Seewee Rd
Site Code :
Start Date: 3/15/2022
Page No : 3


File Name : US 17 @ 15 Mile Landing-Seewee Rd
Site Code :
Start Date: 3/15/2022
Page No : 4

|  | US 17 <br> From North |  |  |  |  | Seewee Rd From East |  |  |  |  | US 17 <br> From South |  |  |  |  | 15 Mile Landing Rd From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 09:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 AM | 6 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 81 | 5 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 254 |
| 09:15 AM | 1 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 84 | 8 | 2 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 277 |
| 09:30 AM | 1 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 115 | 11 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303 |
| 09:45 AM | 5 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 105 | 10 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 295 |
| Total Volume | 13 | 634 | 0 | 0 | 647 | 41 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 385 | 34 | 2 | 424 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1129 |
| \% App. Total | 2 | 98 | 0 | 0 |  | 80.4 | 0 | 19.6 | 0 |  | 0.7 | 90.8 | 8 | 0.5 |  | 0 | 0 | 85.7 | 14.3 |  |  |
| PHF | . 542 | . 966 | . 000 | . 000 | . 980 | . 732 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 797 | . 375 | . 837 | . 773 | . 250 | . 841 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 250 | . 350 | . 932 |
| Passenger Vehicles | 13 | 601 | 0 | 0 | 614 | 41 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 48 | 3 | 359 | 32 | 2 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1064 |
| \% Passenger vehicles | 100 | 94.8 | 0 | 0 | 94.9 | 100 | 0 | 70.0 | 0 | 94.1 | 100 | 93.2 | 94.1 | 100 | 93.4 | 0 | 0 | 83.3 | 100 | 85.7 | 94.2 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 62 |
| \% Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 | 0 | 30.0 | 0 | 5.9 | 0 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 0 | 6.1 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 | 14.3 | 5.5 |
| Buses | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |


|  |     <br> 0 601 13 0 <br> 0 32 0 0 <br> 0 1 0 0 <br> 0 634 13 0 <br> Right Thru Left Peds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data |  |
|  | 647 <br> 33 <br> 1 <br> 681 <br> 396 <br> 26 <br> 2 <br> 424 <br> Out <br> In <br> Total |  |

File Name : US 17 @ 15 Mile Landing-Seewee Rd
Site Code :
Start Date: 3/15/2022
Page No : 5

|  | US 17 <br> From North |  |  |  |  | Seewee Rd From East |  |  |  |  | US 17 From South |  |  |  |  | 15 Mile Landing Rd From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:45 PM | 5 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 125 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 144 | 11 | 0 | 155 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 300 |
| 01:00 PM | 7 | 123 | 1 | 0 | 131 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 134 | 8 | 0 | 148 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 301 |
| 01:15 PM | 6 | 145 | 1 | 0 | 152 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 128 | 5 | 0 | 136 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 305 |
| 01:30 PM | 7 | 151 | 1 | 0 | 159 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 147 | 13 | 0 | 161 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 345 |
| Total Volume | 25 | 538 | 4 | 0 | 567 | 48 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 60 | 10 | 553 | 37 | 0 | 600 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 1251 |
| \% App. Total | 4.4 | 94.9 | 0.7 | 0 |  | 80 | 1.7 | 18.3 | 0 |  | 1.7 | 92.2 | 6.2 | 0 |  | 33.3 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 893 | . 891 | 1.00 | . 000 | . 892 | . 857 | . 250 | . 688 | . 000 | . 833 | . 417 | . 940 | . 712 | . 000 | . 932 | . 667 | . 500 | . 875 | . 000 | . 857 | . 907 |
| Passenger Vehicles | 23 | 489 | 4 | 0 | 516 | 46 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 56 | 10 | 520 | 36 | 0 | 566 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 23 | 1161 |
| \% Passenger Venicles | 92.0 | 90.9 | 100 | 0 | 91.0 | 95.8 | 100 | 81.8 | 0 | 93.3 | 100 | 94.0 | 97.3 | 0 | 94.3 | 100 | 100 | 92.9 | 0 | 95.8 | 92.8 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 2 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 89 |
| \% Heavy Vehicles | 8.0 | 9.1 | 0 | 0 | 9.0 | 4.2 | 0 | 18.2 | 0 | 6.7 | 0 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 0 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 7.1 | 0 | 4.2 | 7.1 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |



File Name : US 17 @ 15 Mile Landing-Seewee Rd
Site Code :
Start Date: 3/15/2022
Page No : 6

|  | US 17 <br> From North |  |  |  |  | Seewee Rd From East |  |  |  |  | US 17 <br> From South |  |  |  |  | 15 Mile Landing Rd From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 06:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 03:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 PM | 2 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 167 | 10 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 311 |
| 03:45 PM | 4 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 188 | 10 | 0 | 201 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 329 |
| 04:00 PM | 7 | 92 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 197 | 20 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 |
| 04:15 PM | 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 187 | 24 | 1 | 218 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 346 |
| Total Volume | 18 | 422 | 1 | 0 | 441 | 42 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 49 | 13 | 739 | 64 | 1 | 817 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 1316 |
| \% App. Total | 4.1 | 95.7 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 85.7 | 0 | 14.3 | 0 |  | 1.6 | 90.5 | 7.8 | 0.1 |  | 22.2 | 22.2 | 44.4 | 11.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 643 | . 909 | . 250 | . 000 | . 919 | . 750 | . 000 | . 583 | . 000 | . 766 | . 542 | . 938 | . 667 | . 250 | . 937 | . 500 | . 500 | . 250 | . 250 | . 321 | . 951 |
| Passenger Vehicles | 16 | 394 | 1 | 0 | 411 | 42 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 49 | 13 | 697 | 63 | 1 | 774 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 1243 |
| \% Passenger Venicles | 88.9 | 93.4 | 100 | 0 | 93.2 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 94.3 | 98.4 | 100 | 94.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94.5 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| \% Heavy Vehicles | 11.1 | 5.7 | 0 | 0 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.9 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 |
| Buses | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| \% Buses | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 |


REFUSED ..... 5
TEST TYPE
BREATH - ALCOHOL ONLY ..... 1
BLOOD ..... 2
URINE ..... 3
SERUM ..... 4
OTHER ..... 8
DRUG RESULTS
AMPHETAMINES ..... 1
COCAINE ..... 2
MARIJUANA ..... 3
OPIATES ..... 4
PCP ..... 5
NEGATIVE ..... 7
OTHER ..... 8
TABLE 4. CONTRIBUTING FACTORS
DRIVER
DISREGARDED SIGN,SIGNALS, ETC. ..... 01
DISTRACTED/INATTENTION ..... 02
DRIVING TOO FAST FOR CONDITIONS ..... 03
EXCEEDED AUTHORIZED SPEED LIMITS ..... 04
FAILED TO YIELD RIGHT OF WAY ..... 05
RAN OFF ROAD ..... 06
FATIGUED/ASLEEP ..... 07
FOLLOWED TOO CLOSELY ..... 08
MADE AN IMPROPER TURN ..... 09
MEDICAL RELATED ..... 10
AGGRESSIVE OPERATION OF VEHICLE ..... 12
OVER-CORRECTING/OVER STEERING ..... 13
SWERVING TO AVOID OBJECT ..... 14
WRONG SIDE OR WRONG WAY ..... 15
UNDER THE INFLUENCE ..... 16
VISION OBSCURED (WITHIN UNIT) ..... 17
IMPROPER LANE USAGE/CHANGE ..... 18
CELL PHONE ..... 19
TEXTING ..... 20
OTHER IMPROPER ACTION ..... 28
UNKNOWN ..... 29
ROADWAY
DEBRIS ..... 30
NON-HIGHWAY WORK ..... 31
OBSTRUCTION IN ROADWAY ..... 32
ROAD SURFACE CONDITION (I.E.,WET) ..... 33
RUT, HOLES, BUMPS ..... 34
SHOULDERS (NONE, LOW, SOFT, HIGH) ..... 35
TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE (I.E., MISSING) ..... 36
WORK ZONE (CONSTRUCTION/MAINTNEANCE/UTILITY) ..... 37
WORN, TRAVEL, POLISHED SURFACE ..... 38
OTHER ..... 48
UNKOWN ..... 49
NON-MOTORIST
INNATTENTIVE ..... 50
LYING AND/OR ILLEGALLY IN ROADWAY ..... 51
FAILURE TO YIELD RIGHT OF WAY ..... 52
NOT VISIBLE (DARK CLOTHING) ..... 53
DISREGARDED SIGNS, SIGNALS, ETC. ..... 54
IMPROPER CROSSING ..... 55
DARTING ..... 56
WRONG SIDE OF ROAD ..... 57
OTHER ..... 58
UNKNOWN ..... 59
PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLIST UNDER THE INFLUENCE ..... 66
PASSENGER UNDER INFLUENCE ..... 67
ENVIRONMENT
ANIMAL IN ROAD ..... 60
GLARE ..... 61
OBSTRUCTION ..... 62
WEATHER CONDITION ..... 63
OTHER ..... 68
UNKNOWN ..... 69
VEHICLE DEFECT
BRAKES ..... 70
STEERING ..... 71
POWER PLANT ..... 72
TIRES/WHEELS ..... 73
LIGHTS ..... 74
SIGNALS ..... 75
WINDOWS/SHIELD ..... 76
RESTRAINT SYSTEM ..... 77
TRUCK COUPLING ..... 78
CARGO ..... 79
FUEL SYSTEM ..... 80
OTHER ..... 88
UNKNOWN ..... 89TABLE 5. COUNTY CODE LIST

| ABBEVILLE | 01 | GREENWOOD | 24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AIKEN | 02 | HAMPTON | 25 |
| ALLENDALE | 03 | HORRY | 26 |
| ANDERSON | 04 | JASPER | 27 |
| BAMBERG | 05 | KERSHAW | 28 |
| BARNWELL | 06 | LANCASTER | 29 |
| BEAUFORT | 07 | LAURENS | 30 |

## INTERSECTION VOLUME DEVELOPMENT

US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road (Existing/No Build/Alternative 1) AM PEAK HOUR (9:00 AM TO 10:00AM)

| Description | US 17 <br> Northbound |  |  | US 17 <br> Southbound |  |  | Fifteen Mile Landing Road Eastbound |  |  | Seewee Road Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Existing 2022 AM Volumes | 3 | 385 | 34 | 13 | 634 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 41 | 0 | 10 |
| Pedestrians | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 6.6\% |  |  | 5.1\% |  |  | 14.3\% |  |  | 5.9\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |  |  | 0.98 (0.95) |  |  | 0.35 (0.50) |  |  | 0.80 |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Growth Factor | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 |
| 2025 Background Traffic | 3 | 446 | 39 | 15 | 734 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 0 | 12 |
| New Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 Buildout Total | 3 | 446 | 39 | 15 | 734 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 0 | 12 |

MIDDAY PEAK HOUR (12:45 PM TO 1:45 PM)

| Description | US 17 <br> Northbound |  |  | US 17 <br> Southbound |  |  | Fifteen Mile Landing Road Eastbound |  |  | Seewee Road Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Existing 2022 MIDDAY Volumes | 10 | 553 | 37 | 25 | 538 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 48 | 1 | 11 |
| Pedestrians | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 5.7\% |  |  | 9.0\% |  |  | 4.2\% |  |  | 6.7\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |  |  | 0.89 |  |  | 0.86 |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Growth Factor | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 |
| 2025 Background Traffic | 12 | 640 | 43 | 29 | 623 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 16 | 56 | 1 | 13 |
| New Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 Buildout Total |  |  | 43 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |

PM PEAK HOUR (3:30 PM TO 4:30 PM)

| Description | US 17 <br> Northbound |  |  | US 17 <br> Southbound |  |  | Fifteen Mile Landing Road Eastbound |  |  | Seewee Road Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Existing 2022 PM Volumes | 13 | 739 | 64 | 18 | 422 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 42 | 0 | 7 |
| Pedestrians | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 5.2\% |  |  | 6.8\% |  |  | 0\% (2.0\%) |  |  | 0\% (2.0\%) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.32 (0.50) |  |  | 0.77 |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Growth Factor | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 |
| 2025 Background Traffic | 15 | 855 | 74 | 21 | 489 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 49 | 0 | 8 |
| New Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 Buildout Total | 15 | 855 | 74 | 21 | 489 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 49 | 0 | 8 |

INTERSECTION VOLUME DEVELOPMENT
US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road (Alternative 2) AM PEAK HOUR (9:00 AM TO 10:00AM)

| Description | US 17 <br> Northbound |  |  | US 17 <br> Southbound |  |  | Fifteen Mile Landing Road Eastbound |  |  | Seewee Road Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Existing 2022 AM Volumes | 3 | 385 | 34 | 13 | 634 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 41 | 0 | 10 |
| Pedestrians | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 6.6\% |  |  | 5.1\% |  |  | 14.3\% |  |  | 5.9\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |  |  | 0.98 (0.95) |  |  | 0.35 (0.50) |  |  | 0.80 |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Growth Factor | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 |
|  | -3 | 3 | 15 | -15 | 15 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 Alternative 2 Adjustments | 0 | 449 | 54 | 0 | 749 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 0 | 12 |
| New Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2025 Buildout Total | 0 | 449 | 54 | 0 | 749 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 0 | 12 |

MIDDAY PEAK HOUR (12:45 PM TO 1:45 PM)


PM PEAK HOUR (3:30 PM TO 4:30 PM)

| Description | US 17 <br> Northbound |  |  | US 17 <br> Southbound |  |  | Fifteen Mile Landing Road Eastbound |  |  | Seewee Road Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Existing 2022 PM Volumes | 13 | 739 | 64 | 18 | 422 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 42 | 0 | 7 |
| Pedestrians | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 5.2\% |  |  | 6.8\% |  |  | 0\% (2.0\%) |  |  | 0\% (2.0\%) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.32 (0.50) |  |  | 0.77 |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Growth Factor | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 |
|  | -15 | 15 | 21 | -21 | 21 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alternative 2 Adjustments | 0 | 870 | 95 | 0 | 510 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 49 | 0 | 8 |
| New Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 Buildout Total | 0 | 870 | 95 | 0 | 510 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 49 | 0 | 8 |

INTERSECTION VOLUME DEVELOPMENT
US 17 at Seewee Road/Fifteen Mile Landing Road (Alternative 3) AM PEAK HOUR (9:00 AM TO 10:00AM)

| Description | US 17 <br> Northbound |  |  | US 17 <br> Southbound |  |  | Fifteen Mile Landing Road Eastbound |  |  | Seewee Road Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Existing 2022 AM Volumes | 3 | 385 | 34 | 13 | 634 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 41 | 0 | 10 |
| Pedestrians | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 6.6\% |  |  | 5.1\% |  |  | 14.3\% |  |  | 5.9\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |  |  | 0.98 (0.95) |  |  | 0.35 (0.50) |  |  | 0.80 |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Growth Factor | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  | -47 |  | 47 |
| 2025 Alternative 3 Adjustments | 3 | 446 | 39 | 15 | 781 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| New Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 Buildout Total | 3 | 446 | 39 | 15 | 781 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 59 |

MIDDAY PEAK HOUR (12:45 PM TO 1:45 PM)

| Description | US 17 <br> Northbound |  |  | US 17 <br> Southbound |  |  | Fifteen Mile Landing Road Eastbound |  |  | Seewee Road <br> Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Existing 2022 MIDDAY Volumes | 10 | 553 | 37 | 25 | 538 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 48 | 1 | 11 |
| Pedestrians | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 5.7\% |  |  | 9.0\% |  |  | 4.2\% |  |  | 6.7\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |  |  | 0.89 |  |  | 0.86 |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Growth Factor | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 |
|  |  | 9 | 2 |  | 56 | 1 | -9 | -2 | 11 | -56 | -1 | 57 |
| 2025 Background Traffic | 12 | 649 | 45 | 29 | 679 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| New Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2025 Buildout Total | 12 | 649 | 45 | 29 | 679 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 70 |

PM PEAK HOUR (3:30 PM TO 4:30 PM)

| Description | US 17 <br> Northbound |  |  | US 17 <br> Southbound |  |  | Fifteen Mile Landing Road Eastbound |  |  | Seewee Road Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Existing 2022 PM Volumes | 13 | 739 | 64 | 18 | 422 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 42 | 0 | 7 |
| Pedestrians | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 5.2\% |  |  | 6.8\% |  |  | 0\% (2.0\%) |  |  | 0\% (2.0\%) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.32 (0.50) |  |  | 0.77 |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Growth Factor | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 | 1.158 |
|  |  | 2 |  |  | 49 | 2 | -2 | -2 | 4 | -49 |  | 49 |
| 2025 Background Traffic | 15 | 857 | 74 | 21 | 538 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| New Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-by Project Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Distribution OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 Buildout Total | 15 | 857 | 74 | 21 | 538 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 57 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 中t |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 2 | 14 | 48 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 553 | 37 | 25 | 538 | 4 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 2 | 14 | 48 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 553 | 37 | 25 | 538 | 4 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Star | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Yield | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | 75 | - | - | - | 200 | - | 260 | 175 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 89 | 89 | 89 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 2 | 16 | 58 | 1 | 13 | 11 | 595 | 40 | 28 | 604 | 4 |  |











| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | * | ${ }_{7}$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 44 | ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 449 | 54 | 0 | 749 | 3 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 449 | 54 | 0 | 749 | 3 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Star | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Yield | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | 75 | - | - | - | - | - | 260 | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 50 | 50 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 14 | 59 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 535 | 64 | 0 | 788 | 3 |  |







| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 「 |  |  | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 59 | ， | 446 | 39 | 15 | 781 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 3 | 446 | 39 | 15 | 781 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Yield | － |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | － | － | － | － | － | 0 | 200 | － | 260 | 175 | － | － |  |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 50 | 50 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 14 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 4 | 531 | 46 | 16 | 822 | 0 |  |








## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | \$ |  | \% | 个4 | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 中t |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 2 | 5 | 49 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 855 | 74 | 21 | 489 | 1 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 2 | 5 | 49 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 855 | 74 | 21 | 489 | 1 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/n | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1826 | 1826 | 1826 | 1796 | 1796 | 1796 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 4 | 4 | 10 | 64 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 910 | 0 | 23 | 532 | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Cap, veh/h | 253 | 100 | 150 | 345 | 0 | 17 | 587 | 1690 |  | 430 | 1702 | 3 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 687 | 1050 | 1582 | 1176 | 0 | 184 | 850 | 3469 | 1547 | 589 | 3495 | 7 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 8 | 0 | 10 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 910 | 0 | 23 | 260 | 273 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1737 | 0 | 1582 | 1360 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 1735 | 1547 | 589 | 1706 | 1795 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.50 |  | 1.00 | 0.86 |  | 0.14 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 353 | 0 | 150 | 363 | 0 | 0 | 587 | 1690 |  | 430 | 831 | 874 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.54 |  | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1820 | 0 | 1599 | 1661 | 0 | 0 | 2512 | 9548 |  | 1764 | 4696 | 4940 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 11.8 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 11.8 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | A | B | B | A | A | A | A |  | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 18 |  |  | 74 |  |  | 926 | A |  | 556 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 11.9 |  |  | 12.8 |  |  | 5.4 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 20.0 |  | 8.7 |  | 20.0 |  | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 79.0 |  | 29.0 |  | 79.0 |  | 29.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 7.2 |  | 2.2 |  | 8.0 |  | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 6.7 |  | 0.0 |  | 3.1 |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

## CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATION SPREADSHEET

Project
Description
County
US 17 at S-584 Intersection Improvements

Estimate Date

Alternative 1 - Pavement marking/signing upgrades Charleston
August 1, 2022

PARRISH\&PARTNERS

| Item | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1031000 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$5,660.00 | \$5,660.00 |
| 1031100 | MOBILIZATION - SUBCONTRACTOR | 1 | LS | \$2,830.00 | \$2,830.00 |
| 1032010 | BONDS AND INSURANCE | 1 | LS | \$1,130.00 | \$1,130.00 |
| 1050800 | CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES, \& GRADES | 1 | EA | \$1,125.00 | \$1,125.00 |
| 1071000 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 1 | LS | \$7,925.00 | \$7,925.00 |
| 1080300 | CPM PROGRESS SCHEDULE | 1 | LS | \$570.00 | \$570.00 |
| 2011000 | CLEAR.\& GRUB. WITHIN RIGHT OF WAY | 1 | LS | \$12,000.00 | \$12,000.00 |
| 6531210 | U-SECTION POST FOR SIGN SUPPORTS - 3P | 108 | LF | \$15.00 | \$1,620.00 |
| 608100B | TYPE B - FLASHING LIGHT | 4 | EA | \$130.00 | \$520.00 |
|  | ADDITIONAL WIDTH SHOULDER PAVING | 1 | LS | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 |
|  | PAVEMENT MARKINGS | 1 | LS | \$750.00 | \$750.00 |
|  | ROADWAY ITEMS OTHERWISE NOT QUANTIFIED | 1 | LS | \$10,300.00 | \$10,300.00 |

Roadway Construction SubTotal
\$144,430.00

Construction Contingencies 15\% \$21,700.00

ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION TOTAL COST
\$167,000.00

This opinion of probable cost is created based on best available conceptual data in 2022 and is subject to change based on plan/design revisions, fluctuations in unit costs, field conditions, etc. The quantities and costs in this estimate are provided for budgeting use only and should not be considered as final. This estimate does not include any costs associated with R/W acquisition, engineering, utility relocation, environmental mitigation or CE\&I associated with construction.
Clearing and Grubbing estimated at \$5,000 per acre
Mobilization $=5 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Bonds and Insurance $=2 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Construction stakes, Line and Grades $=1 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Traffic Control $=7 \%$ of total cost of construction items
CPM Progress Schedule $=0.5 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Roadway items otherwise not quantified $=10 \%$ of total cost of construction items

## CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATION SPREADSHEET

Project
Description County
Estimate Date

US 17 at S-584 Intersection Improvements
Alternative 2 - Left Turn Acceleration Lanes
Charleston
PARRISH\&PARTNERS

| Item | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1031000 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$37,770.00 | \$37,770.00 |
| 1031100 | MOBILIZATION - SUBCONTRACTOR | 1 | LS | \$18,885.00 | \$18,885.00 |
| 1032010 | BONDS AND INSURANCE | 1 | LS | \$10,070.00 | \$10,070.00 |
| 1050800 | CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES, \& GRADES | 1 | EA | \$5,040.00 | \$5,040.00 |
| 1071000 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 1 | LS | \$34,460.00 | \$34,460.00 |
| 1080300 | CPM PROGRESS SCHEDULE | 1 | LS | \$2,520.00 | \$2,520.00 |
| 2011000 | CLEAR.\& GRUB. WITHIN RIGHT OF WAY | 1 | LS | \$24,000.00 | \$24,000.00 |
| 2025000 | REM.\&DISP.OF EXIST ASPH. PVMT. | 1310 | SY | \$20.00 | \$26,200.00 |
| 2081001 | FINE GRADING | 1535 | SY | \$3.50 | \$5,372.50 |
| 3100320 | H/M ASPH. BASE CR.-TYPE B | 1900 | TON | \$75.00 | \$142,500.00 |
| 4011004 | LIQUID ASPHALT BINDER PG64-22 | 168 | TON | \$800.00 | \$134,672.00 |
| 4020320 | H/M ASPH.INTERMEDIATE CR.TYPE B | 760 | TON | \$100.00 | \$76,000.00 |
| 4030320 | H/M ASPH.SURF.CR. TYPE B | 760 | TON | \$110.00 | \$83,600.00 |
| 7206000 | CONCRETE MEDIAN | 150 | SY | \$75.00 | \$11,250.00 |
|  | PAVEMENT MARKINGS | 1 | LS | \$1,530.00 | \$1,530.00 |
|  | ROADWAY ITEMS OTHERWISE NOT QUANTIFIED | 1 | LS | \$122,470.00 | \$122,470.00 |
|  | DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL OTHERWISE NOT QUANTIFIED | 1 | LS | \$30,620.00 | \$30,620.00 |

Roadway Construction SubTotal
\$766,959.50

Construction Contingencies 15\%
\$115,000.00

ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION TOTAL COST

This opinion of probable cost is created based on best available conceptual data in 2022 and is subject to change based on plan/design revisions, fluctuations in unit costs, field conditions, etc. The quantities and costs in this estimate are provided for budgeting use only and should not be considered as final. This estimate does not include any costs associated with R/W acquisition, engineering, utility relocation, environmental mitigation or CE\&I associated with construction. Other estimate assumptions are listed below.

Clearing and Grubbing estimated at \$12,000 per acre
Mobilization $=7.5 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Bonds and Insurance $=2 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Construction stakes, Line and Grades $=1 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Traffic Control $=7 \%$ of total cost of construction items
CPM Progress Schedule $=0.5 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Pavement Markings are estimated as $0.25 \%$ of construction costs

## CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATION SPREADSHEET

Project
Description County
Estimate Date

US 17 at S-584 Intersection Improvements
Alternative 3 - Reduced Conflict Intersection Charleston
August 1, 2022

PARRISHS_PARTNERS

| Item | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1031000 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$20,840.00 | \$20,840.00 |
| 1031100 | MOBILIZATION - SUBCONTRACTOR | 1 | LS | \$10,420.00 | \$10,420.00 |
| 1032010 | BONDS AND INSURANCE | 1 | LS | \$5,560.00 | \$5,560.00 |
| 1050800 | CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES, \& GRADES | 1 | EA | \$2,780.00 | \$2,780.00 |
| 1071000 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 1 | LS | \$18,400.00 | \$18,400.00 |
| 1080300 | CPM PROGRESS SCHEDULE | 1 | LS | \$1,390.00 | \$1,390.00 |
| 2011000 | CLEAR.\& GRUB. WITHIN RIGHT OF WAY | 1 | LS | \$19,200.00 | \$19,200.00 |
| 2025000 | REM.\&DISP.OF EXIST ASPH. PVMT. | 230 | SY | \$20.00 | \$4,600.00 |
| 2081001 | FINE GRADING | 1475 | SY | \$3.50 | \$5,162.50 |
| 3100320 | H/M ASPH. BASE CR.-TYPE B | 1010 | TON | \$75.00 | \$75,750.00 |
| 4011004 | LIQUID ASPHALT BINDER PG64-22 | 90 | TON | \$800.00 | \$72,112.00 |
| 4020320 | H/M ASPH.INTERMEDIATE CR.TYPE B | 410 | TON | \$100.00 | \$41,000.00 |
| 4030320 | H/M ASPH.SURF.CR. TYPE B | 410 | TON | \$110.00 | \$45,100.00 |
| 7206000 | CONCRETE MEDIAN | 200 | SY | \$75.00 | \$15,000.00 |
|  | PAVEMENT MARKINGS | 1 | LS | \$840.00 | \$840.00 |
|  | ROADWAY ITEMS OTHERWISE NOT QUANTIFIED | 1 | LS | \$67,460.00 | \$67,460.00 |
|  | DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL OTHERWISE NOT QUANTIFIED | 1 | LS | \$16,870.00 | \$16,870.00 |

This opinion of probable cost is created based on best available conceptual data in 2022 and is subject to change based on plan/design revisions, fluctuations in unit costs, field conditions, etc. The quantities and costs in this estimate are provided for budgeting use only and should not be considered as final. This estimate does not include any costs associated with R/W acquisition, engineering, utility relocation, environmental mitigation or CE\&I associated with construction. Other estimate assumptions are listed below.

Clearing and Grubbing estimated at \$12,000 per acre
Mobilization $=7.5 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Bonds and Insurance $=2 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Construction stakes, Line and Grades $=1 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Traffic Control $=7 \%$ of total cost of construction items
CPM Progress Schedule $=0.5 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Pavement Markings are estimated as $0.25 \%$ of construction costs
Roadway items otherwise not quantified $=20 \%$ of total cost of construction items

## CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATION SPREADSHEET

Project US $\mathbf{1 7}$ at S-584 Intersection Improvements
Description Alternative 4-Traffic Signal County Charleston
Estimate Date November 20, 2022


| Item | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1031000 | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$15,560.00 | \$15,560.00 |
| 1031100 | MOBILIZATION - SUBCONTRACTOR | 1 | LS | \$7,780.00 | \$7,780.00 |
| 1032010 | BONDS AND INSURANCE | 1 | LS | \$4,150.00 | \$4,150.00 |
| 1050800 | CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES, \& GRADES | 1 | EA | \$2,070.00 | \$2,070.00 |
| 1071000 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 1 | LS | \$14,110.00 | \$14,110.00 |
| 1080300 | CPM PROGRESS SCHEDULE | 1 | LS | \$1,040.00 | \$1,040.00 |
| 2011000 | CLEAR.\& GRUB. WITHIN RIGHT OF WAY | 1 | LS | \$12,000.00 | \$12,000.00 |
| 2081001 | FINE GRADING | 590 | SY | \$3.50 | \$2,065.00 |
| 3100320 | H/M ASPH. BASE CR.-TYPE B | 780 | TON | \$75.00 | \$58,500.00 |
| 4011004 | LIQUID ASPHALT BINDER PG64-22 | 72 | TON | \$800.00 | \$57,600.00 |
| 4020320 | H/M ASPH.INTERMEDIATE CR.TYPE B | 340 | TON | \$100.00 | \$34,000.00 |
| 4030320 | H/M ASPH.SURF.CR. TYPE B | 340 | TON | \$110.00 | \$37,400.00 |
| 7206000 | CONCRETE MEDIAN | 79 | SY | \$75.00 | \$5,925.00 |
|  | PAVEMENT MARKINGS | 1 | LS | \$1,260.00 | \$1,260.00 |
|  | ROADWAY ITEMS OTHERWISE NOT QUANTIFIED | 1 | LS | \$50,440.00 | \$50,440.00 |
|  | TRAFFIC SIGNAL | 1 | LS | \$150,000.00 | \$150,000.00 |
|  | DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL OTHERWISE NOT QUANTIFIED | 1 | LS | \$12,610.00 | \$12,610.00 |

Roadway Construction SubTotal
\$466,510.00

Construction Contingencies 15\%
\$70,000.00

This opinion of probable cost is created based on best available conceptual data in 2022 and is subject to change based on plan/design revisions, fluctuations in unit costs, field conditions, etc. The quantities and costs in this estimate are provided for budgeting use only and should not be considered as final. This estimate does not include any costs associated with R/W acquisition, engineering, utility relocation, environmental mitigation or CE\&I associated with construction. Other estimate assumptions are listed below.

Clearing and Grubbing estimated at $\$ 12,000$ per acre
Mobilization $=7.5 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Bonds and Insurance $=2 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Construction stakes, Line and Grades $=1 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Traffic Control $=7 \%$ of total cost of construction items
CPM Progress Schedule $=0.5 \%$ of total cost of construction items
Pavement Markings are estimated as $0.5 \%$ of construction costs
Roadway items otherwise not quantified $=20 \%$ of total cost of construction items

US-17 at S-584 (15 Mile Landing RdI./Seewee Rd.)
Road Safety Audit
Sign-In Sheet
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# US-17 at S-584 (15 Mile Landing Rd./Seewee Rd.) Road Safety Audit <br> Pre-Audit Meeting Agenda 

Introduction \& Background

- Scope and purpose of RSA
- Audit team, affiliation, and qualifications
- Project limits
- Commentary on data received from design team


## Review of Prompt List

- Known safety issues based on Audit team's past experiences and studies

General observations regarding site visit.

Findings, suggestions, and prioritization of safety issues

- Safety Issue 1 (most important) - Description of issues, evaluation of safety risk, suggestions for improvements.
- Safety Issue 2 - etc.



# US-17 at S-584 (15 Mile Landing Rd./Seewee Rd.) Road Safety Audit <br> Pre-Audit Meeting Agenda 

## Prompt List 1 - Planning Stage Audit

General Topics

1. Scope of project, function, traffic mix, road users
2. Type and degree of access to property and developments
3. Major generators of traffic
4. Staging of construction
5. Future reconstruction projects
6. Wider network effects

Design Issues

1. Route choice
2. Impact of continuity with the existing network
3. Broad design standards
4. Design speed
5. Design volume and traffic characteristics
6. Right of Way
7. Combination of features

## Intersections

1. Location, spacing types
2. 'Readability' (perception) by drivers
3. Road users, traffic mix
4. Design consistency
5. Number of lanes

## Environmental

1. Surrounding terrain
2. Weather, sunlight
3. Noise barriers, animal fencing
4. Animal crossings
5. Visual distractions
6. Unstable land

## Safety Aspects Not Already Covered

Flooding, rail crossings, roadside parking, special events, emergency vehicles, rest areas, etc.

The aim of the RSA is to answer the following questions:

- What elements of the road may present a safety concern: to what extent, to which road users, and under what circumstances?
- What opportunities exist to eliminate or mitigate identified safety concerns?

US-17 at S-584 (15 Mile Landing Rd./Seewee Rd.) Road Safety Audit
Pre-Audit Meeting Agenda

## CHARIESTON GOUNTTY PUBLIC WORKS

 US 17 \& SEWEE ROAD/15 MIL E GANDING ROAD

## INTRODUCTION

## * Project Background

- Fiscal Year 2022 Transportation Sales Tax Request from the Town of Awendaw
- Overall project budget is \$253,500



## RSA PROCESS

## What is an RSA?

- Safety performance examination of an existing or future road or intersection by an independent, multidisciplinary team.
- Team includes Public Safety, Owners, Engineers, Local Stakeholders

A MODEL Road Safety Audit Policy


## RSA PROCESS

## * Federal Process

- Eight steps (we are on steps 3 and 4)
- Step 5-7 will be completed by end of July
- Step 8 timeline to be determined



## RSA PROCESS

## - Objectives of RSA

- Identify elements of the road that may present a safety concern
- What opportunities are there to mitigate these concerns?
- Identify potential corrective actions
- Short-term projects
- Mid-term projects
- Long-term projects



## RSA PROCESS

## Expected users

- Passenger Cars/Trucks
- TriCounty Link Bus service
- Tractor Trailers
- Limited/No Bikes or Pedestrians



## SITE LOCATION



## SITE LOCATION



## RECENT SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS

HMMS\#3842 MP 48.72 (Signing) US 17 @ ( S-584 Seewee Rd/Fifteen Mile Landing Rd
HMMS $\# 39142$ (Pavement Markings) US 17 (a) S-584 Seewee Rd/Fifteen Mile Landing Rd HMMS \# 39142 (Pavement Markings) US 17 a S-584 Seewee Rd/fifteen Mile Landing Rd

- Install approx. 175' solid 4" yellow line between the two "No Parking Highway side of Yellow line" on the restaurant side of US 17 .
HMMS \# 42508 (Side Street signing)


## SCDOT Safety Review (2017)

- Noted all angle collisions
- Identified a need for pavement marking and signs upgrades
- No sight distance issues noted
- Speed Study performed in 2020. No changes recommended to posted speed.


| DO NOT |
| :---: |
| ENTER |
| $\substack{\text { R5-1-36 } \\ \text { Existing }}$ |

## WRONG

WAY
R5-1a-36
Install
(3)
s.
Install (3) signs
100' from
"DO Not Enter"


HMMS WO \# 42508 R6-1-36L
R6-1-36R
nstall signs above existing stop signs Seewee Rd approaches R1-1-36 R6-3-30 Install below existing stop signs on Seewee Rd approaches

## DAILY TRAFFIC TRENDS

SCDOT Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) Counts by Year

| Roadway | Road Section |  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Growth <br> / Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Start | End | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { US } 17 \\ \text { (sta. 135) } \end{gathered}$ | SC 41 | 15 Mile Landing Road | 28,900 | 28,900 | 29,600 | 26,300 | 37,300 | 38,600 | 39,700 | 41,200 | 41,500 | 38,400 | 50,400 | 5.72\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { US } 17 \\ \text { (sta. 137) } \end{gathered}$ | 15 Mile Landing Road | Tibwin Road | 8,200 | 9,700 | 9,400 | 8,400 | 9,700 | 10,400 | 11,300 | 11,100 | 11,800 | 11,000 | 10,900 | 2.89\% |
|  | US 17 | Doar Road | 1,400 | 1,700 | 1,400 | 1,600 | 1,300 | 1,550 | 1,400 | 1,550 | 1,750 | 1,850 | 1,500 | 0.69\% |

## EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES




- Limited to no pedestrian traffic
- US $17-6 \%$ HV
- Sewee Road - 6\% HV
- 15 Mile Landing Road - 5\% HV


## COLLISION DIAGRAM



Crash Analysis - Collision Type and Injury Status

| Manner of Collision |  | Number of Collisions | Percent of Total |  | ury Stat |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Property <br> Damage <br> Only |  | Injury | Fatal |
| Not Collision with Motor Vehicle | Non-Collision |  | 6 | 17.1\% | 2 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Non-Fixed Object | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Fixed Object | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Unknown | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rear End |  | 8 | 22.9\% | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| Angle |  | 17 | 48.6\% | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| Head On |  | 1 | 2.8\% | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sideswipe, Same Direction |  | 2 | 5.8\% | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Sideswipe, Opposite Direction |  | 1 | 2.8\% | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total |  | 35 | 100\% | 13 | 21 | 1 |

Crash Analysis - Light and Road Surface Conditions

| Conditions |  | Number of Incidents | Percent of Incidents on Road Section |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ight Condition | Daylight | 30 | 85.7\% |
| ght Condition | Dark | 5 | 14.3\% |
| Total |  | 35 | 100\% |
| Road Surface | Dry | 31 | 88.6\% |
| Conditions | Wet | 4 | 11.4\% |
| Total |  | 35 | 100\% |

## COLLISION DIAGRAM



Crash Analysis - Primary Contributing Factor for Incident

| Cause | Number of Collisions | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Animal in Road | 1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Exceeded Authorized Speed Limit | 1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Distracted/Inattention | 5 | $14.3 \%$ |
| Driving Too Fast for Conditions | 3 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Failed to Yield Right of Way | 17 | $49.0 \%$ |
| Followed Too Closely | 1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Fatigued/Asleep | 1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Improper Lane Usage/Change | 2 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Medical Reason | 1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other Improper Driver Action | 1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Ran Off Road | 1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Unknown Vehicle Defect | 1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Total | 35 | $100 \%$ |

## CONCEPTUAL ALTERNATIVES

- Alternative 1
- Convert 15 Mile Road to RIRO and add acceleration lane to US 17 SB

* Alternative 2
- Add acceleration lanes on both NB/SB US 17 with concrete median



## * Alternative 3

- Reconfigure to an RCI



## ON SITE MEETING

Where to meet

- Tractor Supply Parking lot for afternoon
- Sewee Restaurant Parking Lot for morning.



# US-17 at S-584 (15 Mile Landing Rd./Seewee Rd.) Road Safety Audit <br> Post-Audit Meeting Agenda 

General observations regarding site visit.

Findings, suggestions, and prioritization of safety issues

- Safety Issue 1 (most important) - Description of issue, evaluation of safety risk, suggestions for improvements
- Safety Issue 2 - etc.


## Formal conclusions / statements

- RSA team members have agreed or reached consensus on its findings.


[^0]:    <- Doar Rd Thames Rd ->

